## **Bawdsey Parish Council**

## Minutes of Extraordinary Meeting

Friday 21<sup>st</sup> September 2018 at 11.30am

## **Bawdsey Village Hall**

1. Chairman's Welcome and Apologies: Clis Andrew Rouse, Chris Mann, Richard Tricker, Emma Aldous and SCDC Cli C Block sent their apologies 2. Open Forum: Two members of the public, residents of Bawdsey Manor Estate, attended the Open Forum and shared a further objection to application DC/15/4256/FUL relating to the drainage and water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske where excessive noise was also an issue. 3. Declarations of Interest: None 4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. Ab also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact, the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Counciliors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DMS 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site vis	In Attendance – Chairman Andrew Block, Clls Brian Johnson, Rawdon Saunders, Liz Mark, Jenny Webb (Clerk)			
<ol> <li>Open Forum: Two members of the public, residents of Bawdsey Manor Estate, attended the Open Forum and shared a further objection to application DC/15/4256/FUL relating to the drainage and water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske where excessive noise was also an issue.</li> <li>Declarations of Interest: None</li> <li>Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. All also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24<sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DMS 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li></li></ol>	Meeting called to order by Chair Andrew Block at 11.30 am			
<ol> <li>Open Forum: Two members of the public, residents of Bawdsey Manor Estate, attended the Open Forum and shared a further objection to application DC/15/4256/FUL relating to the drainage and water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske where excessive noise was also an issue.</li> <li>Declarations of Interest: None</li> <li>Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24<sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DMS 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li>T</li></ol>	1.	Chairman's Welcome and Apologies: Clls Andrew Rouse, Chris Mann, Richard Tricker, Emma Aldous and SCDC Cll C		
Forum and shared a further objection to application DC/15/4256/FUL relating to the drainage and water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske where excessive noise was also an issue.  3. Declarations of Interest: None  4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the prefer		Block sent their apologies		
water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske where excessive noise was also an issue.  3. Declarations of Interest: None  4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than	2.	<b>Open Forum:</b> Two members of the public, residents of Bawdsey Manor Estate, attended the Open	Action:	
<ul> <li>where excessive noise was also an issue.</li> <li>Declarations of Interest: None</li> <li>4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24th September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li>5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecti</li></ul>		Forum and shared a further objection to application DC/15/4256/FUL relating to the drainage and		
4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Counciliors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and se		water table of the proposed lake site. Reference was made to another PGL property at Newby Whiske		
<ul> <li>4. Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24<sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li>5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll</li></ul>		where excessive noise was also an issue.		
as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction meas	3.	Declarations of Interest: None		
the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up	4.	Planning Matters: a) Applications: DC/15/4256/FUL Creation of a lake for recreational activities such		
and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters w		as raft building and kayaking, including excavation and re-use of excavated materials onsite within		
response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection, Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		the Bawdsey Manor Estate. The Chair thanked the residents for sharing their concerns via their letters		
Historic England and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The council confirmed that it would be objecting to this application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		and in person. These concerns have been noted by the Clerk and will help inform the parish council's		
application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		response. AB also highlighted the responses made by the statutory bodies - Environmental Protection,		
the area; Loss of amenity for residents including the noise and visual impact; the incomplete nature of the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.				
the application; Flood risk management and drainage issues. Further concerns related to mitigations of previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		application and identified the main areas of concern as: Overall effect on the landscape and ecology of		
previous applications which have not yet been put in place. AB asked the Clerk to use these headings to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.				
to complete the current draft of the council's formal response and to send it into the planning office before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) <b>DC/18/3442/FUL Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio</b> Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response. <b>5. To decide on which SID to purchase:</b> The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways. <b>6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council:</b> No further matters were raised.			Clerk	
before the close of Monday 24 <sup>th</sup> September b) <b>DC/18/3442/FUL</b> Sea Wisteria Cottage: Conversion of existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.				
<ul> <li>existing double garage into a residential studio Councillors confirmed that the application complied with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li>5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.</li> <li>6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.</li> </ul>				
with planning regulations relating to annexes in particular DM5 5.20 and 5.22 in the Local Plan. They were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.				
were however concerned about the parking implications for residents at numbers 32 and 33. Following a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.  5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.				
<ul> <li>a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.</li> <li>5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.</li> <li>6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>5. To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.</li> <li>6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.</li> </ul>				
devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		a site visit, it was agreed to raise these concerns with SCDC Planners as part of the council's response.		
Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by Cll Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.	5.	To decide on which SID to purchase: The relative merits of the Westcotec and the Elan City SID		
collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII Saunders and seconded by CII. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		devices were discussed with the preference of the team leader of Speedwatch taken into account. The		
Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		Elan City was £1,000 cheaper than the Westcotec and since they provided the same amount of data		
speed reduction measures. Clerk was asked to place an order as soon as the council learned when the posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		collecting and were equally robust, it was agreed to purchase the former. It was proposed by CII		
posts would be put up by SCC Highways.  6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		Saunders and seconded by Cll. Mark. Any surplus monies will be spent on road markings as part of the		
6. Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.			Clerk	
		posts would be put up by SCC Highways.		
Meeting ended 1.00pm	6.	Any other matters to bring to the attention of the council: No further matters were raised.		
Meeting ended 1.00pm				
Meeting ended 1.00pm				

Signed: ...... Date: .....

Andrew Block Chair Chairman of Bawdsey Parish Council