## BPC meeting. Agenda item 6.iii.(c) 16.11.16

## ALDE AND ORE ESTUARY PARTNERSHIP

The last Partnership meeting minutes reported that consultations on a review of the SMP will be taking place over the next six months concerning the Slaughden area.

Work on upgrading the river walls has started with work on the Aldeburgh Marsh wall. This has to be done before the next work on walls at Snape is commenced next year and takes into account the effect one section of the estuary has on other sections. Some of the Aldeburgh work is funded by EA to remedy previous defective work.

Aldeburgh Foundation has received Planning approval to carry out some flood defence work to the Maltings buildings and river wall improvements to the west of the road outside the Maltings.

The Partnership has produced a short film for use in fundraising. Interviews will be held shortly to select a firm(s) of Architects to take forward the first tranche of Enabling Development sites.

BJ

## Agenda item 12 QUIET LANES

Soundings have been taken through the Shingle Street Settlement Company with residents of Shingle Street to seek views (and votes) about thee proposal to designate the road behind Shingle Street as a Quiet Lane. Only six responses were received: three in favour, two objections and one request for more information. The objections were on the grounds that more signs were not acceptable and it was obviously a quiet lane anyway.

I have also discussed the issue with Directors of SSS Co. in the light of the above vote. They were in favour of the designation. It is understood that Hollesley PC wish to designate the Shingle Street road as far as the boundary with Bawdsey as a Quiet Lane. It follows that no additional signs will be needed at Shingle Street if the designation continues to the end of the road.

I would therefore propose that BCP approves the designation as long as Hollesley PC also designates their section of the road and that we inform them accordingly.

BJ

## Agenda item 7 BQVC

Papers giving details of discussions of the Working Group sub-group, the meeting with Andy Jarvis (SCDC), a review of that meeting and the paper summarising our questions for SCDC have been circulated. We have not yet received answers to those questions but understand that Andy Jarvis has been away for a week since that meeting.

Since then a meeting called by The Coastal Communities Deben Peninsula team was held on 9<sup>th</sup> November in Bawdsey Village Hall. The purpose of the meeting/workshop was to bring together representatives from various organisations who will/may be affected by the sale of Bawdsey Manor Estate. The meeting was attended by representatives from SCDC and Waveney Councils, (District Ward Cllr., Asset Management, Planning, and Funding Officers) New Anglia Local Enterprise Partnership, Historic England, ESBPT, and Bawdsey Council. The Sales Agent declined to attend.

It is still unclear whether the estate will be sold as a single lot or in several separate lots but for the purpose of this meeting it was assumed that separate lots would be marketed.

Three questions were posed and discussed in small groups:

- 1. What are the impacts and risks of the Bawdsey Manor Estate being sold?
- 2. What are the opportunities it offers?
- 3. Is there an appetite to work collaboratively

Leading to the question, responded to in confidence, "Do you have access to funding which might be used to purchase separate lots?" We understand that some representatives answered positively but only the Chairman knows who these are. They do not include BPC!

One overriding view which became clear from the discussion was that whoever purchases the whole or parts of it, that development of some nature will be needed to provide a sustainable income. This will inevitably have considerable impacts on the Community.

I am writing this report prior to the issue of any notes recording the meeting. These will be circulated when received and should give a fuller account of proceedings. It was agreed that a further meeting would be convened within two to three weeks.

In the meantime detailed work on the contract for the water supply to the amenity site is proceeding. It is hoped that response from SCDC to our questions will answer some of the uncertainties surrounding the BQVC project. SCDC are also endeavouring to come to terms with these uncertainties.

I think that BPC should consider the outline proposals for the transition period, as proposed in the working Group paper, in more detail to strengthen those proposals, including further discussion with SWT and the Landscape Architects.

I hope that this can be discussed at the Council meeting on Wednesday.

BJ